SKLAR LAW, LLC

Andrew Sklar (016751992) 20 Brace Road, Suite 205 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08034

Tel: (856) 258-4050 Fax: (856) 258-6941 andy@sklarlaw.com

Attorneys for Andrew Sklar, Chapter 7 Trustee

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

In re:	Hon. Andrew B. Altenburg, Jr.
ORBIT ENERGY & POWER, LLC	Case No. 22-19628 (ABA)
Debtor.	Chapter 7

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN ANDREW SKLAR, AS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE OF ORBIT ENERGY & POWER, LLC AND C&C SUPPLY COMPANY

Andrew Sklar, as chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of Orbit Energy & Power, LLC ("Orbit" or "Debtor"), by and through his attorneys, Sklar Law, LLC, hereby submits this motion (the "Motion") for entry of an order (the "Order") approving the settlement agreement dated May 25, 2024 (the "Settlement Agreement") attached as **Exhibit A** to the Certification of Andrew Sklar in support of the Motion (the "Sklar Certification") by and between the Trustee and C&C Supply Company, ("C&C"). In support of this Motion, the Trustee respectfully represents as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. On December 6, 2022 (the "Petition Date"), Orbit filed a voluntary petition under chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey (the "Bankruptcy Court") and the Debtor's main

Case 22-19628-ABA Doc 494-1 Filed 05/26/24 Entered 05/26/24 09:04:26 Desc Memorandum of Law Page 2 of 6

bankruptcy case was assigned docket number 22-19628.

2. On February 27, 2023, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order converting the chapter 11 case to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Office of the United States Trustee appointed the Trustee as chapter 7 trustee, which appointment remains in effect.

The Preference Claim

- 3. On or about April 8, 2024, the Trustee issued a demand letter against C&C Supply Company ("C&C"), seeking recovery of preferential payments in the amount of \$17,261.39 (the "Preference Claim").
- 4. C&C retained counsel and the parties engaged in negotiations and ultimately, the Trustee and C&C agreed to settle the Preference Claim by way of a Settlement Agreement.
- 5. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the estate is to receive from C&C \$10,000.00.
- 6. In accord with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Trustee brings this Motion requesting entry of the proposed Order to approve the Settlement Agreement.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has jurisdiction over this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

RELIEF REQUESTED AND BASIS THEREFOR

8. By this Motion, the Trustee respectfully requests the entry of an Order, pursuant to sections 105(a) and 363 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a), approving the Trustee's entry into the Settlement Agreement. Per the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the estate will receive \$10,000.00 from the Defendant while eliminating the uncertainty, expense and delay of litigation and collection efforts against C&C.

Case 22-19628-ABA Doc 494-1 Filed 05/26/24 Entered 05/26/24 09:04:26 Desc Memorandum of Law Page 3 of 6

I. The Relevant Legal Standard.

- 9. Section 363(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the trustee "after notice and a hearing, may use, sell, or lease, other than in the ordinary course of business, property of the estate" and is the statutory basis governing settlement agreements. 11 U.S.C. § 363(b)(1); see also Myers v. Martin (In re Martin), 91 F.3d 389, 394 n.2 (3d Cir. 1996). Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in pertinent part, that the "[c]ourt may issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions" of the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). Bankruptcy Rule 9019 governs the procedural prerequisites to approval of a settlement and provides, in relevant part, that "[o]n motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019 (a).
- 10. Sections 105(a) and 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) empower a bankruptcy court to approve a proposed compromise and settlement when it is in the best interests of the debtor's estate and its creditors. *See In re Marvel Entm't Group, Inc.*, 222 B.R. 243, 249 (D. Del. 1998). "To minimize litigation and expedite the administration of a bankruptcy estate, '[c]ompromises are favored in bankruptcy." *In re Martin*, 91 F.3d at 393; *see also, In re Nutraquest, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 639, 644 (3d Cir. 2006). In essence, a court will approve a settlement when it is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the estate. *Key3Media Group, Inc. v. Pulver.com, Inc.*, 336 B.R. 87, 93 (Bankr. D. Del. 2005).
- 11. In assessing whether a settlement proposed by a trustee should be approved, courts are not supposed to have a "mini-trial" on the merits, "but should canvass the issue to see whether the settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness." *In re Jasmine, Ltd.*, 258 B.R. 119, 123 (D.N.J. 1999) (emphasis added) (citations and quotations omitted). Furthermore, the Third Circuit has also "noted that a court deciding whether to approve a

settlement in bankruptcy 'relies heavily on the trustee,' and under normal circumstances the court would defer to the trustee's judgment so long as there is a legitimate business justification." *Jasmine*, 258 B.R. at 123 (*quoting In re Martin*, 91 F.3d at 395). Indeed,

[t]he lenient standards concerning approval of settlements and a limited scope of review reflect the considered judgment that little would be saved by the settlement process if bankruptcy courts could approve settlements only after an exhaustive investigation and determination of the underlying claims. The applicable standards encourage courts to approve settlements in bankruptcy proceedings and related actions.

In re Purofield Down Products Corp., 150 B.R. 519, 522-23 (S.D.N.Y. 1983).

- 12. The Third Circuit has set forth a four factor analysis to determine whether a court should approve a proposed settlement agreement reached by a trustee with another party. *In re Martin*, 91 F.3d at 393. Those factors are:
 - a. The probability of success in litigation;
 - b. The likely difficulties in collection;
 - c. The complexity of the litigation involved, and the expense, inconvenience and delay necessarily attending it; and
 - d. The paramount interest of the creditors.

Id.

II. Application of the Relevant Legal Standard to the Settlement Agreement.

- (A) The probability of success in litigation.
- 13. C&C has raised several defenses to the Trustee's preference claim, in particular New Value provided to the Debtor and the ordinary course defense. The Trustee and C&C's counsel have exchanged documentation regarding these defenses, and the Trustee acknowledged that the Defendant had defenses to a portion of the Trustee's claim. As to the remaining claim, the Trustee recognizes that there is always risk in any litigation.
 - **(B)** The likely difficulties in collection.
 - 14. The Trustee does not believe there would be difficulty in collecting any judgment

Case 22-19628-ABA Doc 494-1 Filed 05/26/24 Entered 05/26/24 09:04:26 Desc Memorandum of Law Page 5 of 6

obtained in this matter and therefore did not really consider this factor in his analysis.

(C) The complexity of the litigation involved, and the expense, inconvenience and

delay necessarily attending it.

15. The Preference Claim involves many complex factual and legal issues. It is not

reasonable for the estate to continue incurring administrative expenses pursuing litigation when

the likelihood of a substantial recovery is highly uncertain (partly due to the Trustee's

acknowledgment of valid defenses asserted by the Defendant). Given the complex nature of the

Preference Claim, the Trustee believes the cost and expense of continued litigation outweighs any

perceived benefit.

(D) The paramount interest of the creditors.

16. The Settlement Agreement provides a fixed distribution to the chapter 7 estate now,

rather than an uncertain amount (if any) that may only come after protracted litigation. The

creditors of the Debtor's estate are best served by having \$10,000.00 placed with the Trustee given

the substantial risk that there will otherwise be little or no recovery if this matter proceeds to trial.

17. In short, the Settlement Agreement provides many benefits to the estate; primarily,

\$10,000.00 and certainty while limiting the fees incurred. Accordingly, the Settlement Agreement

does not come close to "fall[ing] below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness" and should

therefore be approved. See Jasmine, 258 B.R. at 123 (citations omitted).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order

(i) approving the Settlement Agreement and (ii) granting such other and further relief as is just and

proper.

Dated: May 25, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

SKLAR LAW, LLC

Attorneys for Andrew Sklar, Chapter 7 Trustee

5

Case 22-19628-ABA Doc 494-1 Filed 05/26/24 Entered 05/26/24 09:04:26 Desc Memorandum of Law Page 6 of 6

of the Bankruptcy Estate of Orbit Energy & Power, LLC

By: <u>/s/ Andrew Sklar</u>

Andrew Sklar, Esq.